1	EDMUND G. BROWN JR., Attorney General of the State of California	
2	PAUL C. AMENT	
3	Supervising Deputy Attorney General CHRIS LEONG, State Bar No. 141079	
4	Deputy Attorney General California Department of Justice	
5	300 South Spring Street, Suite 1702 Los Angeles, California 90013	
6	Telephone: (213) 897-2575 Facsimile: (213) 897-9395	
7	Attorneys for Complainant	
8	BEFORE THE PHYSICAL THERAPY BOARD OF CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS STATE OF CALIFORNIA	
9		
10	STATE OF CALL	TORMA
11	In the Matter of the Accusation Against:	Case No. 1D 2005 64366
12	EZEQUIEL A. MARZOCCHETTI, PT 3228 Lennox Court	ACCUSATION
13	Palmdale, California 93551	ACCUSATION
14	Physical Therapist License No. PT 26241,	
15	Respondent.	
16		
17	Complainant alleges:	
18	<u>PARTIES</u>	
19	1. Steven K. Hartzell (Complainant) brings this Accusation solely in his	
20	official capacity as the Executive Officer of the Physical Therapy Board of California (Board).	
21	2. On or about June 6, 2001, the Board issued Physical Therapist License	
22	Number PT 26241 to Ezequiel A. Marzocchetti (Respondent). This license was in full force and	
23	effect at all times relevant to the charges brought herein and will expire on May 31, 2009, unless	
24	renewed.	
25	<u>JURISDICTION</u>	
26	3. This Accusation is brought before the Board under the authority of the	
27	following laws. All section references are to the Business and Professions Code (Code) unless	
28	otherwise indicated.	

2

4

5

6

8

7

10

9

12

13

11

14

16

15

17

18 19

20

2122

23

24

2526

27

28

4. Section 2609 of the Code states:

"The board shall issue, suspend, and revoke licenses and approvals to practice physical therapy as provided in this chapter."

5. Section 2630 of the Code states:

"It is unlawful for any person or persons to practice, or offer to practice, physical therapy in this state for compensation received or expected, or to hold himself or herself out as a physical therapist, unless at the time of so doing the person holds a valid, unexpired, and unrevoked license issued under this chapter.

Nothing in this section shall restrict the activities authorized by their licenses on the part of any persons licensed under this code or any initiative act, or the activities authorized to be performed pursuant to Article 4.5 (commencing with Section 2655) or Chapter 7.7 (commencing with Section 3500).

A physical therapist licensed pursuant to this chapter may utilized the services of one aide engaged in patient-related tasks to assist the physical therapist in his or her practice of physical therapy. "Patient-related task" means a physical therapy service rendered directly to the patient by an aide, excluding non-patient-related tasks. "Non-patient-related task" means a task related to observation of the patient, transport of the patient, physical support only during gait or transfer training, housekeeping duties, clerical duties, and similar functions. The aide shall at all times be under the orders, direction, and immediate supervision of the physical therapist. Nothing in this section shall authorize an aide to independently perform physical therapy or any physical therapy procedure. The board shall adopt regulations that set forth the standards and requirements for the orders, direction, and immediate supervision of an aide by a physical therapist. The physical therapist shall provide continuous and immediate supervision of the aide. The physical therapist shall be in the same facility as, and in proximity to, the location where the aide is performing patient-related tasks, and shall be readily available at all times to provide advice or instruction to the aide. When patient-related tasks are provided to a patient by an aide, the supervising physical therapist shall, at some point

during the treatment day, provide direct service to the patient as treatment for the patient's condition, or to further evaluate and monitor the patient's progress, and shall correspondingly document the patient's record.

The administration of massage, external baths, or normal exercise not a part of a physical therapy treatment shall not be prohibited by this section."

6. Section 2660 of the Code states:

"The board may, after the conduct of appropriate proceedings under the Administrative Procedure Act, suspend for not more than 12 months, or revoke, or impose probationary conditions upon any license, certificate, or approval issued under this chapter for unprofessional conduct that includes, but is not limited to, one or any combination of the following causes:

. . .

"(d) Conviction of a crime which substantially relates to the qualifications, functions, or duties of a physical therapist or physical therapy assistant.

The record of conviction or a certified copy thereof shall be conclusive evidence of that conviction.

. . .

- "(i) Conviction of a violation of any of the provisions of this chapter or of the State Medical Practice Act, or violating, or attempting to violate, directly or indirectly, or assisting in or abetting the violating of, or conspiring to violate any provision or term of this chapter or of the State Medical Practice Act.
- "(j) The aiding or abetting of any person to violate this chapter or any regulations duly adopted under this chapter.
- "(k) The aiding or abetting of any person to engage in the unlawful practice of physical therapy."
 - 7. Section 2620.7 of the Code states:
- "(a) A physical therapist shall document his or her evaluation, goals, treatment plan, and summary of treatment in the patient record.

"(b) A physical therapist shall document the care actually provided to a patient in the patient record.

- "(c) A physical therapist shall sign the patient record legibly.
- "(d) Patient records shall be maintained for a period of no less than seven years following the discharge of the patient, except that the records of unemancipated minors shall be maintained at least one year after the minor has reached the age of 18 years, and not in any case less than seven years."

8. Section 2661 of the Code states:

"A plea or verdict of guilty or a conviction following a plea of nolo contendere made to a charge of a felony or of any offense which substantially relates to the qualifications, functions, or duties of a physical therapist is deemed to be a conviction within the meaning of this article. The board may order the license suspended or revoked, or may decline to issue a license, when the time for appeal has elapsed, or the judgment of conviction has been affirmed on appeal or when an order granting probation is made suspending the imposition of sentence, irrespective of a subsequent order under Section 1203.4 of the Penal Code allowing that person to withdraw his or her plea of guilty and to enter a plea of not guilty, or setting aside the verdict of guilty, or dismissing the accusation, information, or indictment."

9. California Code of Regulations, Title 16, section 1399.20, states:

"For the purposes of denial, suspension or revocation of a license, pursuant to Division 1.5 (commencing with Section 475) of the code, a crime or act shall be considered to be substantially related to the qualifications, functions or duties of a person holding a license under the Physical Therapy Practice Act if to a substantial degree it evidences present or potential unfitness of a person to perform the functions authorized by the license or approval in a manner consistent with the public health, safety or welfare. Such crimes or acts shall include but not be limited to the following:

"(a) Violating or attempting to violate, directly or indirectly, or assisting in or abetting the violation of, or conspiring to violate any provision or term of the Physical

Therapy Practice Act. . . . "

10. Section 2655 of the Code states:

As used in this article:

- "(a) "Physical therapist" means a physical therapist licensed by the board.
- "(b) "Physical therapist assistant" means a person who meets the qualifications stated in Section 2655.3 and who is approved by the board to assist in the provision of physical therapy under the supervision of a physical therapist who shall be responsible for the extent, kind, and quality of the services provided by the physical therapist assistant.
- "(c) "Physical therapist assistant" and "physical therapy assistant" shall be deemed identical and interchangeable."
 - 11. Section 2655.7 of the Code states:

"Notwithstanding Section 2630, a physical therapist assistant may assist in the provision of physical therapy service provided the assistance is rendered under the supervision of a physical therapist licensed by the board."

12. California Code of Regulations, Title 16, section 1398.44, states:

"A licensed physical therapist shall at all times be responsible for all physical therapy services provided by the physical therapist assistant. The supervising physical therapist has continuing responsibility to follow the progress of each patient, provide direct care to the patient and to assure that the physical therapist assistant does not function autonomously. Adequate supervision shall include all of the following:

- "(a) The supervising physical therapist shall be readily available in person or by telecommunication to the physical therapist assistant at all times while the physical therapist assistant is treating patients. The supervising physical therapist shall provide periodic on site supervision and observation of the assigned patient care rendered by the physical therapist assistant.
- "(b) The supervising physical therapist shall initially evaluate each patient and document in the patient record, along with his or her signature, the evaluation and

when the patient is to be reevaluated.

"(c) The supervising physical therapist shall formulate and document in each patient's record, along with his or her signature, the treatment program goals and plan based upon the evaluation and any other information available to the supervising physical therapist. This information shall be communicated verbally, or in writing by the supervising physical therapist to the physical therapist assistant prior to initiation of treatment by the physical therapist assistant. The supervising physical therapist shall determine which elements of the treatment plan may be assigned to the physical therapist assistant. Assignment of these responsibilities must be commensurate with the qualifications, including experience, education and training, of the physical therapist assistant.

"(d) The supervising physical therapist shall reevaluate the patient as previously determined, or more often if necessary, and modify the treatment, goals and plan as needed. The reevaluation shall include treatment to the patient by the supervising physical therapist. The reevaluation shall be documented and signed by the supervising physical therapist in the patient's record and shall reflect the patient's progress toward the treatment goals and when the next reevaluation shall be performed.

- "(e) The physical therapist assistant shall document each treatment in the patient record, along with his or her signature. The physical therapist assistant shall document in the patient record and notify the supervising physical therapist of any change in the patient's condition not consistent with planned progress or treatment goals. The change in condition necessitates a reevaluation by a supervising physical therapist before further treatment by the physical therapist assistant.
- "(f) Within seven (7) days of the care being provided by the physical therapist assistant, the supervising physical therapist shall review, cosign and date all documentation by the physical therapist assistant or conduct a weekly case conference and document it in the patient record. Cosigning by the supervising physical therapist indicates that the supervising physical therapist has read the documentation, and unless

the supervising physical therapist indicates otherwise, he or she is in agreement with the contents of the documentation.

- "(g) There shall be a regularly scheduled and documented case conference between the supervising physical therapist and physical therapist assistant regarding the patient. The frequency of the conferences is to be determined by the supervising physical therapist based on the needs of the patient, the supervisory needs of the physical therapist assistant and shall be at least every thirty calendar days.
- "(h) The supervising physical therapist shall establish a discharge plan. At the time of discharge, or within 7 (seven) days thereafter, a supervising physical therapist shall document in the patient's record, along with his or her signature, the patient's response to treatment in the form of a reevaluation or discharge summary."
 - 13. California Code of Regulations, Title 16, section 1399, states:

"A physical therapy aide is an unlicensed person who assists a physical therapist and may be utilized by a physical therapist in his or her practice by performing nonpatient related tasks, or by performing patient related tasks.

- "(a) As used in these regulations:
- (1) A "patient related task" means a physical therapy service rendered directly to the patient by an aide, excluding nonpatient related tasks as defined below.
- (2) A "nonpatient related task" means a task related to observation of the patient, transport of patients, physical support only during gait or transfer training, housekeeping duties, clerical duties and similar functions.
 - "(b) "Under the orders, direction and immediate supervision" means:
- (1) Prior to the initiation of care, the physical therapist shall evaluate every patient prior to the performance of any patient related tasks by the aide. The evaluation shall be documented in the patient's record.
- (2) The physical therapist shall formulate and record in the patient's record a treatment program based upon the evaluation and any other information available to the physical therapist, and shall determine those patient related tasks which may be assigned

to an aide. The patient's record shall reflect those patient related tasks that were rendered by the aide, including the signature of the aide who performed those tasks.

- (3) The physical therapist shall assign only those patient related tasks that can be safely and effectively performed by the aide. The supervising physical therapist shall be responsible at all times for the conduct of the aide while he or she is on duty.
- (4) The physical therapist shall provide continuous and immediate supervision of the aide. The physical therapist shall be in the same facility as and in immediate proximity to the location where the aide is performing patient related tasks, and shall be readily available at all times to provide advice or instruction to the aide. When patient related tasks are provided a patient by an aide the supervising physical therapist shall at some point during the treatment day provide direct service to the patient as treatment for the patient's condition or to further evaluate and monitor the patient's progress, and so document in the patient's record.
- (5) The physical therapist shall perform periodic re-evaluation of the patient as necessary and make adjustments in the patient's treatment program. The re-evaluation shall be documented in the patient's record.
- (6) The supervising physical therapist shall countersign with their first initial and last name, and date all entries in the patient's record, on the same day as patient related tasks were provided by the aide."

14. Section 2661.5 of the Code states:

- "(a) In any order issued in resolution of a disciplinary proceeding before the board, the board may request the administrative law judge to direct any licensee found guilty of unprofessional conduct to pay to the board a sum not to exceed the actual and reasonable costs of the investigation and prosecution of the case.
- "(b) The costs to be assessed shall be fixed by the administrative law judge and shall not in any event be increased by the board. When the board does not adopt a proposed decision and remands the case to an administrative law judge, the administrative law judge shall not increase the amount of the assessed costs specified in

On or about January 23, 2007, in Kern County Superior Court Complaint

28

A.

No. MM057112A, Respondent was charged with utilizing the services of one or more aides who engaged in patient related tasks without the orders, direction and immediate supervision of a physical therapist, in violation of Business and Professions Code section 2630, a misdemeanor.

B. On or about February 28, 2007, Respondent was convicted upon his plea of nolo contendere to utilizing the services of one or more aides without the immediate supervision of a physical therapist. Respondent was placed on probation for three years. He was ordered to pay a fine of \$9,180.00, a court security fee of \$20.00 and a restitution fine of \$100.00.

SECOND CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Aiding and Abetting the Unlicensed Practice of Physical Therapy)

- 17. Complainant hereby incorporates by reference Paragraphs 1 through 16 as if each were fully set forth herein.
- 18. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action under Business and Professions Code sections 2630 and 2660, subdivisions (i), (j) and (k), and California Code of Regulations, Title 16, sections 1398.44, and 1399, in that he aided and abetted the unlicensed practice of physical therapy.
- 19. Respondent is employed as the physical therapist at West Point Physical Therapy, which is owned by his mother, Grace Marzocchetti, a licensed physical therapist. West Point Physical Therapy has two locations, one in California City and one in Palmdale. The staff at the California City location consists of Bailes, a physical therapy assistant, and Polycen, a physical therapy aide. The California City location is open on Mondays, Wednesdays and Fridays.
- On or about April 19, 2006, the Board's investigator and the Board's expert interviewed respondent at the Palmdale office location. Respondent stated that he is physically present at the California City office a half-day on Mondays where he performs initial evaluations, administers some treatments, co-signs charts, and supervises the physical therapy assistant Bailes and the physical therapy aide Polycen. He stated that Bailes provides most of the

physical therapy treatments and performs tests and measurements for reevaluations, and prepares discharge evaluations. Respondent's supervision of Bailes is done on his half-day Monday visits and is also done telephonically, but is not documented. Respondent also acknowledged that the physical therapy aide Polycen administers to patients without his direct supervision, and that Bailes supervised Polycen.

- 21. On or about April 19, 2006, the Board's investigator and the Board's expert interviewed Bailes at the California City location of West Point Physical Therapy. Bailes stated that respondent came to the California City location on Mondays to conduct initial evaluations of new patients, review and co-sign patient charts, and to discuss Bailes' ongoing treatment of patients. Bailes stated that he performed all treatments after the initial evaluations, including modalities, manual therapy and therapeutic exercises. He performed patient discharge evaluations. He reviewed new prescriptions and changed the patients' treatment plans.

 Respondent supervised him telephonically, with no formal case conferences. In one instance, Bailes received a new prescription from the physician of patient B.H. Bailes changed the treatment of the patient, without formal communication with respondent. Bailes also stated that at times the physical therapy aide Polycen assisted him by administering ultrasound, providing massages, and applying heat packs. Bailes stated that he supervised Polycen.
- 22. On or about April 19, 2006, the Board's investigator and the Board's expert interviewed the physical therapy aide Polycen at the California City location of West Point Physical Therapy. Polycen stated her primary supervisor was Bailes. She admitted that she applied heat packs, and performed ultrasound and massage, without instruction or communication. She also documented evaluations in the patients' charts.
- 23. Respondent failed to appropriately supervise support personnel including the physical therapy assistant Bailes, and the physical therapy aide Polycen, who provided treatments to patients.

Patient D.L.¹

A. On or about August 8, 2005, respondent performed an initial evaluation of Patient D.L. at the California City location of West Point Physical Therapy. D.L. received about six treatments from the physical therapy assistant Bailes from August 10 through September 7, 2005. Respondent did not cosign the treatment notes dated August 10, 15, 17, and September 7, 2005. There was no indication of a case conference or any communication between respondent and the physical therapy assistant regarding the patient. There was no indication that respondent reevaluated the patient. The physical therapy assistant prepared the discharge summary dated September 7, 2005, when it was the responsibility of the respondent to prepare the discharge summary.

Patient L.H.

B. On or about August 8, 2005, respondent performed an initial evaluation of Patient L.H. at the California City location of West Point Physical Therapy. L.H. received about eight treatments from August 10 through August 31, 2005, provided by the physical therapy assistant and the physical therapy aide. The physical therapy aide did not sign her notes on August 10, 12, and 17, 2005. There was no documentation in the record that respondent directly and immediately supervised the physical therapy aide or provided direct service to patient L.H. on August 10, 12, 15, 17, 22, 24, and 31, 2005, the dates the aide provided treatment. There was no indication that respondent ever reevaluated the patient or had any communication with the physical therapy assistant. The physical therapy assistant prepared the discharge summary dated August 31, 2005, when it was the responsibility of respondent to prepare the discharge summary.

Patient J.S.

C. On or about September 19, 2005, respondent performed an initial evaluation of Patient J.S. at the California City location of West Point Physical Therapy.

^{1.} For privacy, the patients in the Accusation will be identified by their initials. The full names will be disclosed to Respondent upon timely request for discovery pursuant to Government Code section 11507.6.

J.S. received about nine treatments from September 21 through October 31, 2005, provided by the physical therapy assistant and the physical therapy aide. There was no documentation in the record that respondent directly and immediately supervised the physical therapy aide or provided direct service to patient J.S. on September 26, 28, and on October 3, 10, and 31, the dates the aide provided treatment. Respondent did not cosign the treatment notes dated October 24, 28, and 31, 2005. There was no indication of a case conference or any communication between respondent and the physical therapy assistant regarding the patient. There was no indication that respondent reevaluated the patient. The physical therapy assistant prepared the discharge summary dated November 11, 2005, when it was the responsibility of respondent to prepare the discharge summary.

Patient M.M.

D. On or about September 12, 2005, respondent performed an initial evaluation of Patient M.M. at the California City location of West Point Physical Therapy. M.M. received about fourteen treatments from September 14 through November 4, 2005 from respondent, the physical therapy assistant and the physical therapy aide. The physical therapy aide did not sign her notes on September 19, 21, and 26, 2005. There was no documentation in the record that respondent directly and immediately supervised the physical therapy aide or provided direct service to patient M.M. on September 14, 16, 26, 28, 30, and on October 3, 10, 17, and 21, 2005, the dates the aide provided treatment. Respondent did not cosign the treatment notes dated October 26 and November 4, 2005, which were prepared by the physical therapy assistant. There was no indication of case conferences or any communication between respondent and the physical therapy assistant regarding the patient.

Patient E.T.

E. On or about March 6, 2006, respondent performed an initial evaluation of Patient E.T. at the California City location of West Point Physical Therapy. E.T. received about seven treatments from March 10 through 24, 2006, provided by the physical

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

therapy assistant and the physical therapy aide. There was no documentation in the record that respondent directly and immediately supervised the physical therapy aide or provided direct service to patient E.T. on March 15, 16, 20, 22, and 24, 2006, the dates the physical therapy aide treated the patient. Respondent did not cosign the physical therapy notes dated March 15, 17, 22, and 24, 2006. There was no indication that respondent reevaluated the patient or had any communication with the physical therapy assistant. The physical therapy assistant prepared the discharge summary dated March 24, 2006, when it was the responsibility of respondent to prepare the discharge summary.

Patient L.Y.

F. On or about March 13, 2006, respondent performed an initial evaluation of Patient L.Y. at the California City location of West Point Physical Therapy. L.Y. received about ten treatments from March 15 through April 14, 2006, provided by the physical therapy assistant and the physical therapy aide. There was no documentation in the record that respondent directly and immediately supervised the physical therapy aide or provided direct service to patient L.Y. on March 17, 22, 29, and April 3, 2006, the dates the physical therapy aide treated the patient. Respondent did not cosign the physical therapy notes dated March 15, 17, 22, 27, 29, and April 3 and 12, 2006. There was no indication that respondent reevaluated the patient. On March 30, 2006, the patient's physician wrote a new physical therapy prescription indicating the patient's neck as a treatment area. On April 5, 2006, the treatment notes prepared by the physical therapy assistant made reference to the patient's neck area. There was no indication in the record of any communication between respondent and the physical therapy assistant regarding the change in prescription, and no indication that respondent reevaluated the patient before further treatment. There was no indication of a case conference which was required every thirty days between respondent and the physical therapy assistant regarding the patient.

///

Patient C.F.

G. On or about April 3, 2006, respondent performed the an initial evaluation of Patient C.F. at the California City location of West Point Physical Therapy. C.F. received treatments on April 5, 7, 10 and 14, 2006, provided by the physical therapy assistant and the physical therapy aide. The physical therapy assistant provided treatments on April 5, 7, 10 and 14, 2006, and the physical therapy aide provided treatment on April 14, 2006. There was no documentation in the record that respondent directly and immediately supervised the physical therapy aide or provided direct service to patient C.F. on April 14, 2006. Respondent did not cosign any of the physical therapy notes. There was no indication that respondent reevaluated the patient or had any communication with the physical therapy assistant.

Patient R.W.

H. On or about April 3, 2006, respondent performed an initial evaluation of Patient R.W. at the California City location of West Point Physical Therapy. R.W. received about six treatments from April 5 through 17, 2006, provided by the physical therapy assistant and the physical therapy aide. There was no documentation in the record that respondent directly and immediately supervised the physical therapy aide or provided direct service to patient R.W. on April 10, 12, 14, and 17, 2006, when the physical therapy aide treated the patient. The physical therapy aide did not sign her notes on April 17, 2006. Respondent did not cosign any of the physical therapy notes. There was no indication that respondent reevaluated the patient, or had any communication with the physical therapy assistant.

Patient W.C.

I. On or about April 3, 2006, respondent performed an initial evaluation of Patient W.C. at the California City location of West Point Physical Therapy. W.C. received about five treatments from April 5 through 17, 2006, provided by the physical therapy assistant and the physical therapy aide. There was no documentation in the record that respondent directly and immediately supervised the physical therapy aide or

provided direct service to patient W.C. on April 12, and 17, 2006, the dates the physical therapy aide treated the patient. Respondent did not cosign the physical therapy notes dated April 5, 10, and 17, 2006. There was no indication that respondent reevaluated the patient, or had any communication with the physical therapy assistant.

Patient B.H.

J. On or about April 3, 2006, respondent performed an initial evaluation of Patient B.H. at the California City location of West Point Physical Therapy. B.H. received about five treatments from April 5 through 17, 2006, provided by the physical therapy assistant and the physical therapy aide. There was no documentation in the record that respondent directly and immediately supervised the physical therapy aide or provided direct service to patient B.H. on April 10, 14, and 17, 2006, the dates the physical therapy aide treated the patient. Respondent did not cosign the any of the physical therapy notes. On April 12, 2006, the patient's physician wrote a new physical therapy prescription, "Commence from It shoulder." The treatment notes written by the physical therapy assistant on April 14, 2006, refer to the physician's prescription. There was no indication in the record that respondent communicated with the physical therapy assistant regarding the new prescription or that respondent reevaluated the patient before further treatment.

THIRD CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Failure to Properly Document Patient Records)

- 24. Complainant hereby incorporates by reference Paragraphs 1 through 23 as if each were fully set forth herein.
- 25. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action under Business and Professions Code sections 2620.7 and 2630 and California Code of Regulations, Title 16, sections 1398.44 and 1399, in that he failed to properly document patient records. The facts and circumstances of the failure to properly document patient records are set forth above in Paragraph 23, subparagraphs A through J of this Accusation. The daily notes lacked documentation regarding patient progress; objective testing; and professional assessment. Respondent also

1	failed to document patient records when aides provided treatment and that he, at some point in		
2	time, also provided treatment to the patients.		
3	FOURTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE		
4	(Unprofessional Conduct)		
5	26. Complainant hereby incorporates by reference Paragraphs 1 through 23 as		
6	if each were fully set forth herein.		
7	27. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action under section 2660 of the		
8	Code in that he engaged in unprofessional conduct. The facts and circumstances of the		
9	unprofessional conduct are set forth above in Paragraphs 16 through 23 of this Accusation.		
10	<u>PRAYER</u>		
11	WHEREFORE, Complainant requests that a hearing be held on the matters herein		
12	alleged, and that following the hearing, the Physical Therapy Board of California issue a		
13	decision:		
14	1. Revoking or suspending Physical Therapist Number PT 26241, issued to		
15	Ezequiel A. Marzocchetti;		
16	2. Ordering him to pay the Physical Therapy Board of California the		
17	reasonable costs of the investigation and enforcement of this case, pursuant to Business and		
18	Professions Code section 2661.5; and		
19	3. Taking such other and further action as deemed necessary and proper.		
20	DATED: <u>July 6, 2007</u>		
21	Original Signed By:		
22	Original Signed By: STEVEN K. HARTZELL Executive Officer Physical Therapy Board of California Department of Consumer Affairs		
23			
24	State of California Complainant		
25	LA2006503396 Marzocchetti Accusation.wpd		
26			
27			